



Review Article

Recent advancements in protection of dentist in pandemic times

Shaik Ali Hassan^{1,*}, Sumit Bhateja², Geetika Arora³, Francis Prathyusha¹¹Dept. of Dental, Dr. Francis Maxillofacial and Dental Clinic, India²Manav Rachna Dental College, Faridabad, Haryana, India³Inderprastha Dental College & Hospital, Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh, India

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 17-08-2020

Accepted 07-09-2020

Available online 04-11-2020

Keywords:

Sterilization

Antimicrobial

Covid19

Face Mask

PPE

ABSTRACT

Personal protective equipment has become an important and emotive subject during the current coronavirus (COVID -19) epidemic. COVID -19 is predominantly caused by contact or droplet transmission attributed to relatively large respiratory particles which are subject to gravitational forces and travel only approximately one metre from the patient. Airborne transmission may occur if patient respiratory activity or medical procedures generate respiratory aerosols. These aerosols contain particles that may travel much longer distances and remain airborne longer, but their infective potential is uncertain. Contact, droplet and airborne transmission are each relevant during airway manoeuvres in infected patients, particularly during tracheal intubation. Personal protective equipment is an important component, but only one part, of a system protecting staff and other patients from COVID -19 cross -infection. In this review article we will tell about latest advancements to reduce infection and transmission of covid -19.

© 2020 Published by Innovative Publication. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>)

1. Introduction

Mostly dental methods requires more talented dental specialists, regarding both information and capability.^{1,2} As dental health care personnel exposed to the oral cavity which is a common route for infection transmission, he / she must be vigilant. They must be careful when giving treatment to prevent the spread of nosocomial infection. In the transmission dental practice of COVID-19 generally via aerosol.^{3,4} Now it is fundamental not to use the day to day dental practice strategy as we have to change the way by the instruments sanitization and cleansing methodology. If we go according to ethics clean material that we are going to utilize, it is fundamental to see that the instruments are appropriately cleaned and sterilized.⁴⁻⁶ Disinfection is a strategy that demolishes any living microorganism, pathogenic and nonpathogenic, in a vegetative structure or then again spore present on the outside of the material to be sanitized.⁷ A thing or item that is free from living

microorganisms is called as sterile.⁸

Since the fecal-oral course is viewed as one of the 2019-nCoV transmission courses, consideration regarding hand cleanliness previously, during, and after dental practice is significant. Dental specialists should practice outrageous alert to maintain a strategic distance from contact with their own facial mucosal surfaces including their eyes, mouth, and nose. Since transmission of airborne bead is viewed as one of the primary courses of disease spread, utilization of individual defensive hardware, for example, covers, protective eyewear, outfits, head cover, gloves, tops, face shields, and shoe covers is firmly suggested though wellbeing couldn't care less work force. Covid-19 patients are not be treated in a normal dental consideration setting without exceptional contemplations. Surprising conditions may happen when the dental specialist can't defer treatment or allude the patient to the fitting clinical organization. Under such conditions, exceptional defensive apparel, for example, unsafe materials (hazardous materials) suits are required. On the off chance that hazardous materials suits are not accessible, white coats, outfits, head caps, protective

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: alishaikhassan@gmail.com (S. A. Hassan).

eyewear, face shields, covers, latex gloves, and infection evidence shoe spreads ought to be utilized.⁹

1.1. Infection control in dental setup

1.1.1. Mouthwash

The impact of chlorhexidine, which is usually utilized for pre-procedural mouth washing in dental practice, has not yet been exhibited to be equipped for taking out 2019-nCoV. Be that as it may, oxidative operators containing mouth washes with 1% hydrogen peroxide or 0.2% povidone-iodine are suggested. Pre-procedural utilization of mouthwash, particularly in instances of failure to utilize an elastic dam, can altogether decrease the microbial heap of oral cavity liquids.¹⁰

1.1.2. Rubber dams

Utilizing rubber dams because of the making of a hindrance in the oral cavity successfully diminishes the age of beads and airborne blended in with understanding spit as well as blood in 1 m breadth of the careful field by 70%.¹¹ Following the situation of the dam, additional high-volume pull is likewise required for most extreme anticipation of vaporized and scatter from spreading.¹² In the event that it is preposterous to expect to utilize elastic dams for any explanation, manual instruments, for example, Carisolv or hand scalers are preferable.

1.1.3. Anti retraction hand piece

All through the COVID-19 pandemic, the utilization of any dental handpieces that don't have an enemy of withdrawal capacity ought to be stayed away from. For crisis treatment, hostile to withdrawal handpieces structured with against retractive valves can assume a powerful job in forestalling the dissemination and scattering of droplets and aerosols.^{13,14}

1.1.4. Disinfectant

Since there is still little information accessible in regards to 2019-nCoV, moderately comparative hereditary highlights between 2019-nCoV and SARS-CoV show that the novel coronavirus can be helpless against disinfectants, for example, sodium hypochlorite (1000 ppm or 0.1% for surfaces and 10,000 ppm or 1% for blood spills), 0.5% hydrogen peroxide, 62–71% ethanol, and phenolic and quaternary ammonium mixes whenever used as per the maker's guidelines. Studies show that other biocidal operators, for example, 0.05–0.2% benzalkonium chloride or 0.02% chlorhexidine digluconate presumably have lower effectiveness. Notwithstanding the kind of disinfectant, focusing on different factors, for example, the span of utilization, weakening rate, and particularly the lapse time following the planning of the arrangement according to the producer's directions is additionally urgent.^{15,16}

1.2. Recent Advancements

2. Hands free isolation

A latest product from the mr, thirsty one step, can give as good isolation. In a dental practice will right away diminish seat time and improve the patient's understanding. This sans hands separation gadget permits a dental specialist to work without an associate, an aide to work without anyone else at chairside and a hygienist can perform with the advantages of nonstop pull while furnishing the patient with comfort and peace of mind. just remove Mr. Thirsty One Step from the packaging, connect directly to the high volume evacuation and ready to perform. When the procedure is completed, remove and discard it at the chairside.¹⁷



Fig. 1: Isolation and suction device from Zirc is designed to make isolation easier.

2.1.

2.1.1. Aerosol reduction and cleaning barriers

Treatment rooms should be purified after each patient. That has been the norm for quite a long time. Mycobacterium structure spores and are hard to kill, so if an item is compelling against that, it will be powerful against COVID-19. Any disinfectant you purchase should have the mycobacterium tuberculosis killing power.

When cleaning operatories, utilizing sterilizing wipes rather than sprinkling. Sprinkling can cause respiratory issues for colleagues, regardless of whether they're wearing legitimate PPE. AdvantaClear, CaviWipes, or comparable items are extraordinary choices, or you can make your own.¹⁸

When the Isolation Mouthpiece is put in place, the patient's cheek and tongue are automatically retracted and protected, resulting in an immediate decrease in the risk of patient injury. The Mouthpiece obturates the entrance to the throat, minimizing the chance of debris aspiration. This makes the patient more comfortable and provides the

additional benefit of being able to monitor the patient's airway.

The Mouthpiece's construction provides an extra layer of protection from dental instruments and controls the patient's tongue. The patient can comfortably rest their jaw thanks to the built-in bite block, and you have free access to both the upper and lower quadrants. And because the Mouthpiece is single-use, patient cross-contamination is eliminated. In addition, the Mouthpiece has bilateral, 360° evacuation to keep the field dry and reduce humidity.

In a study in 2012 it was conducted for isolite in this authors conducted a study to compare the effectiveness of two dry-field isolation techniques with that of a control technique (no isolation) in reducing spatter from a dental operative site. In this study results showed that use of a dental dam with HVE or the Isolite system significantly reduced spatter overall compared with use of HVE alone.¹⁹



Fig. 2: Isolation devices such as Isolite from Zyris are effective at aerosol reduction.

The need for a reliable disinfectant combined with the quality of TB rapid effectiveness and excellent cleaning ability, recent reviews CaviCide and CaviWipes resolved. The active ingredient in CaviCide and CaviWipes are surfactants and low levels of alcohol. CaviCide1 and CaviWipes1 have a slightly higher alcohol content and a 1 minute contact time TB. Products with moderate to high alcohol content has previously been shown to exhibit poor cleaning ability compared with low-alcohol disinfectant alcohol because of the tendency for protein deposition on surfaces.²⁰ CaviCide1 and CaviWipes1 evaluated for cleaning effectiveness.

2.1.2. Good protective gear

PPE is vital to protecting your colleagues and yourself. In addition to the fact that you need to wear more PPE now, you likewise should realize how to appropriately put it on



Fig. 3: CaviWipes from Metrex are ideal for operating rooms and dental operatories.

and take it off to keep away from the treating room.

A fluid-resistant (Type-IIR) Surgical face masks are used to protect against drops. If worn by patient, it will minimize the spread of large respiratory droplets that will protect staff against both droplet and contact transmission. If worn by the staff, it will protect against droplet transmission, when within 1-2 m of the patient. risk reduction by at least 80% predicted.²¹ The term filtering facepiece FFP2, FFP3 and N95 are used in reference to high performance filtering masks. Filtration is achieved by a combination of polypropylene and web microfibres electrostatic charge. There are three classes of protection, adhering to the European standard EN 149 + A1: 2009,²² each with an assigned protection factor which indicates the extent to which mask will reduce the concentration of harmful substances. For FFP1, FFP2 and FFP3 this 10 and 20 times, respectively.²³ In the details of this standard states that total into the leaking of the particles should not exceed 92% of the practice test: 25% for FFP1; 11% for FFP2; and 5% for FFP3. That also stated that the average into the leak in 8 out of 10 users must not exceed: 22% for FFP2; 8% FFP2; and 2% for FFP3 masks. Finally, aerosol penetration tests, both salt and paraffin oil should not exceed: 20% for FFP1 6% for FFP2; and 1% for FFP3 masks. This test will be performed on the mask as delivered and for the use of simulation. Perhaps this last gives the best measure of filtration, which means that the efficiency of the overall filter FFP1, FFP2 and FFP3 mask is 80%, 94% and 99%.²²

N95 designation means that under test conditions (certified under 42 CFR 84 of the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health and the United States CDC), the respirator blocks at At least 95% of the particles

of solid and liquid aerosol test. N, R and P masks described them increase resistance to oils but the number (95, 99 or 100) refers to the minimum percentage of particles filtered under test conditions. Filtration performance during use tends to be higher than indicated, as the test is done in the 'setting a bad case' of high air flow and high use aerosol penetration (0.3 m diameter).²³

Per the CDC, dental clinicians additionally should wear gloves, full face shields rather than goggles or wellbeing glasses for eye insurance and single-use, dispensable outfits that are changed and discarded after each patient. Outfits, which might be secured with beads or splatter, ought to be taken off in the treatment room, While it has been prescribed by some to put gloves on before patients so they realize you're paying attention to the wellbeing conventions and not strolling from operation to operation with the equivalent PPE on, the CDC states in its interval direction to put on clean, non-clean gloves preceding going into the patient room.²⁴

3. Conclusion

By and large, there is proof is that the utilization of PPE lessens the disease transmission and secures staff. It is basics that staff should be motivated towards PPE and its job as a component of a framework to lessen disease transmission from patients to staff and different patients.

4. Source of Funding

None.

5. Conflict of Interest

None.

References

1. Condrin AK. Disinfection and sterilization in dentistry. *Texas Dent J*. 2014;131(8):604–8.
2. Saccucci M, Ierardo G, Protano C, Vitali M, Polimeni A. How to manage the biological risk in a dental clinic: current and future perspectives. *Minerva Stomatol*. 2017;66(5):232–9.
3. Peng X, Xu X, Li Y, Cheng L, Zhou X, Ren B, et al. Transmission routes of 2019-nCoV and controls in dental practice". *Int J Oral Sci*. 2020;.
4. Gyorf A, Fazekas A. Significance of infection control in dentistry: a review. *Fogorvosi Szemle*. 2007;100(4):141–52.
5. Ling ML, Ching P, Widadaputra A, Stewart A, Sirijindadirat N, Thu LTA, et al. APSIC guidelines for disinfection and sterilization of instruments in health care facilities. *Antimicrobial Resist Infect Control*. 2018;7(1):25.
6. Chidambaranathan AS, Balasubramaniam M. Comprehensive Review and Comparison of the Disinfection Techniques Currently Available in the Literature. *J Prosthodont*. 2019;28(2):e849–56.
7. Sheth NC, Rathod YV, Shenoi PR, Shori DD, Khode RT, Khadse AP. Evaluation of new technique of sterilization using biological indicator. *J Conserv Dent*. 2017;20(5):346–50.
8. Rutala WA, Weber DJ. Disinfection and Sterilization in Health Care Facilities: What Clinicians Need to Know. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2004;39(5):702–9.
9. Peng X, Xu X, Li Y, Cheng L, Zhou X, Ren B, et al. Transmission routes of 2019-nCoV and controls in dental practice. *Int J Oral Sci*. 2020;12(1):1–6.
10. Peng X, Li XX, Cheng Y, Zhou L, Ren X, B. Transmission routes of 2019-nCoV and controls in dental practice. *Int J Oral Sci*. 2020;.
11. Committee GOoNH. Office of State Administration of Traditional Chinese Medicine . Notice on the issuance of a program for the diagnosis and treatment of novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) infected pneumonia (Trial Version 3); 2020.
12. Samaranyake L, Reid J, Evans D. The efficacy of rubber dam isolation in reducing atmospheric bacterial contamination. *ASDC J Dent Children*. 1989;56(6):442–4.
13. Larsen T, Andersen HK, Fiehn NE. Evaluation of a new device for sterilizing dental high-speed handpieces. *Oral Surg, Oral Med, Oral Pathol, Oral Radiol, Endodontology*. 1997;84:513–6.
14. Edwardsson S, ater GS, Birkhed D. Steam sterilization of air turbine dental handpieces. *Acta Odontologica Scand*. 2009;41(6):321–6.
15. Andersen HK, Fiehn NE, Larsen T. Effect of steam sterilization inside the turbine chambers of dental turbines. *Oral Surg, Oral Med, Oral Pathol, Oral Radiol, Endodontol*. 1999;87:184–8.
16. Infection control technologies keep dental teams; 2020.
17. Thirsty One-Step hands-free isolation device retracts, isolates, and evacuates . Available from: <https://groupdentistrynow.com/dso-group-blog/dentistry-during-the-covid-19-outbreak-beyond/>.
18. Get with the evolving infection control times; 2020. Available from: <https://www.dentalproductsreport.com/view/infection-control-technologies-keep-dental-teams-patients-safe>.
19. Evaluation of the spatter-reduction effectiveness of two dry-field isolation techniques. *J Am Dent Assoc*. 2012;143(11):1199–1204.
20. Prior F, Fernie K, Renfrew A, Heneaghan G. Alcoholic fixation of blood to surgical instruments—a possible factor in the surgical transmission of CJD? *J Hospital Infect*. 2004;58(1):78–80.
21. BS EN 149:2001+A1:2009 Respiratory protective devices. Filtering half masks to protect against particles. Requirements, testing, marking. British Standard Institute . 2009;.
22. Gawn J, Clayton M, Makison C, Crook B. Evaluating the protection afforded by surgical masks against influenza bioaerosols Gross protection of surgical masks compared to filtering facepiece respirators Prepared by the Health and Safety Laboratory for the Health and Safety Executive HSE Books . 2008; Available from: <https://www.hse.gov.uk/research/rrpdf/rr619.pdf>.
23. NIOSH Guide to the Selection and Use of Particulate Respirators. Centres for disease control and prevention. DHHS (NIOSH) Publication Number 96 -101; 1996.
24. Guidance for Dental Settings. Interim Infection Prevention and Control Guidance for Dental Settings During the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19); 2020.

Author biography

Shaik Ali Hassan Dental Surgeon

Sumit Bhateja HOD

Geetika Arora Reader

Francis Prathyusha BDS, MDS

Cite this article: Hassan SA, Bhateja S, Arora G, Prathyusha F. Recent advancements in protection of dentist in pandemic times. *IP J Surg Allied Sci* 2020;2(3):77-80.